CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	
JAMES DOE,	: :	
Plaintiff,	: Civil Action No.: : 3:17-cv-00402 GTS-DEP	
- against -	:	
CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ELIZABETH MCGRATH, as agent for Cornell University, and JODY KUNK-CZAPLICKI, as agent for Cornell University,	: : : :	
Defendants.	:	
	X	
Counsel for all parties or individuals appearing <u>pro se</u> in the a confer in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) with respect to no later than twenty-one (21) days before the scheduled R Rule 26(f) conference, a report of the results of the conference be filed with the clerk, no later than seven (7) days prior to the Court. Matters which the Court will discuss at the following: (insert a separate subparagraph as necessary if par	bove-captioned action are directed to all of the agenda items listed below, ule 16 Conference. Following that e, in the format set forth below, must e scheduled Rule 16 conference with status conference will include the	
1) JOINDER OF PARTIES: Any application to join any be made on or before the <u>29th</u> day of <u>September</u> ,	<u> </u>	
2) AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS: Any application to shall be made on or before the <u>29th</u> day of <u>September</u> ,	amend the pleadings to this action 2017.	
3) DISCOVERY: All discovery in this action shall be con of <u>July</u> , <u>2018</u> . (Discovery time table is to be based		
4) MOTIONS: All motions, including discovery motions, shall be made on or before the <u>28th</u> day of <u>September</u> , <u>2018</u> . (Non-Dispositive motions including discovery motions may only be brought after the parties have complied with Section IX of General Order #25)		

5) PROPOSED DATE FOR THE COMMENCEMENT OF TRIAL: The action will be ready to proceed to trial on or before the 31st day of October, 2018. It is anticipated that the trial will take approximately <u>7</u> days to complete. The parties request that the trial be held in Syracuse, New York.

(The proposed date for the commencement of trial must be within 18 months of the filing date).

- 6) HAVE THE PARTIES FILED A JURY DEMAND: X (YES) (NO)
- 7) DOES THE COURT HAVE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION? ARE THE PARTIES SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S JURISDICTION? HAVE ALL PARTIES BEEN SERVED?

Yes.

8) WHAT ARE THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASES FOR PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS AND DEFENDANT'S DEFENSES (INCLUDE COUNTERCLAIMS & CROSSCLAIMS, IF APPLICABLE)?

Plaintiff contends that the sanction was gender-biased in violation of Title IX and that Cornell failed to afford adequate process and violated New York State Education Law Article 129-B. Plaintiff also contends that Cornell failed to follow its policies and asserts claims for breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, negligence and promissory estoppel.

Defendants deny that the sanction was gender based and deny that Cornell failed to follow its own procedures; Defendants also assert the following defenses: that Plaintiff has failed to state any claim upon which relief can be granted; the Defendants are not state actors, did not engage in state action, and are not subject to suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983; that the injuries and damages, if any, allegedly sustained by Plaintiff, were caused or contributed to, in whole or in part, by culpable conduct attributable to Plaintiff without any fault or negligence contributing thereto on the part of Defendants; that by reason of the foregoing, the amount of any damages otherwise recoverable by Plaintiff should be diminished in proportion to such culpable conduct attributable to Plaintiff; and that if these answering Defendants are found to be liable for negligence, then their liability is fifty percent or less of the total liability of all persons liable; by reason thereof, these answering Defendants' liability as to non-economic loss, if any, shall not exceed these Defendants' equitable share thereof.

9) WHAT FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES ARE GENUINELY IN DISPUTE?

Defendants contend that all factual and legal issues contained in the amended complaint and the answer are in dispute.

10) CAN THE ISSUES IN LITIGATION BE NARROWED BY AGREEMENT OR BY MOTIONS? ARE THERE DISPOSITIVE OR PARTIALLY DISPOSITIVE ISSUES APPROPRIATE FOR DECISION ON MOTION?

Defendants may make dispositive motions or partial dispositive motions on the issues raised in paragraph 8.

11) WHAT SPECIFIC RELIEF DO THE PARTIES SEEK? WHAT ARE THE DAMAGES SOUGHT?

Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages for an amount not yet determined, including damages to physical well-being, psychological and emotional damages, loss of educational and career opportunities and past and future economic losses. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief expunging his disciplinary record.

Defendants seek dismissal of all claims.

12) DISCOVERY PLAN:

A. Mandatory Disclosures

The parties will exchange the mandatory disclosures required under Rule 26(a)(1) at least seven (7) days prior to the date of the Rule 16 conference, unless they have obtained prior approval from the assigned Magistrate Judge to extend that deadline.

B. <u>Subjects of Disclosure</u>

The parties jointly agree that discovery will be needed to address the following subjects:

Plaintiff's allegations of gender discrimination and the procedural steps taken by Cornell in reaching the finding of responsibility against Plaintiff, Plaintiff's damages (including his medical, educational, and employment records), as well as all issues raised in Plaintiff's Complaint and in Defendants' Answer.

C. <u>Discovery Sequence</u>

Describe the parties' understanding regarding the timing of discovery, and state whether it is anticipated that discovery will be phased to address different issues in stages.

The parties will engage in document discovery prior to conducting the depositions of parties, witnesses and experts.

D. Written Discovery

Describe the written discovery demands which the parties contemplate serving under Rules 33, 34 and 36, including when they will be promulgated, the areas to be covered, and whether there is any need for any party to exceed the number of interrogatories permitted under Rule 33.

Initial demands pursuant to Rule 34 will be served by September 29, 2017.

Interrogatories pursuant to Rule 33 will be served by September 29, 2017.

Requests to Admit pursuant to Rule 36 will be served by September 29, 2017.

There is no presently determined need to exceed the number of permitted interrogatories.

E. <u>Depositions</u>

Set forth the parties' expectations regarding depositions, including the approximate number to be taken, their location, a general description of the deponents, and an indication of whether any non-party fact depositions are anticipated.

Plaintiff intends to take the depositions of all named defendants in addition to other non-party fact witnesses involved in the investigation and adjudication of the complaints concerning Plaintiff. At this time, Plaintiff does not anticipate exceeding the presumptive 10 depositions permitted by the Federal Rules.

Defendants intend to take the depositions of Plaintiff and approximately 5 witnesses involved in the underlying incident concerning Plaintiff. At this time, Defendants do not anticipate exceeding the presumptive 10 depositions permitted by the Federal Rules.

F. Experts

Set forth the parties' expectations regarding the retention of experts, and identify any particular issues to be addressed by the court concerning the retention and exchange of the information regarding experts, including whether the parties seek a variance from the expert disclosure requirements of the form uniform pretrial scheduling order typically issued by the court (i.e., initial expert disclosure at least ninety days, responsive expert disclosures at least forty-five days, and rebuttal reports due at least thirty days, before the close of discovery).

Plaintiff intends to offer the testimony of one or more experts on the issues of psychological damages, economic damages and the adequacy of the disciplinary process employed by Cornell.

Defendants intend to offer the testimony of one or more experts in response to Plaintiff's experts.

G. Electronic Discovery

Set forth the parties' understanding and expectations regarding discovery of electronically stored information. This description should include any agreements reached with respect to the retention of electronically stored information and the manner in which it will be produced, if requested. The parties should also identify any agreements regarding the manner in which electronically stored information subject to claims of privilege or work product protection will be handled, and whether a court order will be requested, either on stipulation or otherwise, to address this issue. If an agreement has been reached on the entry of such an order, provide a brief description of the provisions which will be included in a proposed order.

All parties will seek electronic discovery of all relevant documents, including all parties' communications with any/all individuals regarding the factual allegations set forth in Plaintiff's complaint.

H. Protective Orders

If the parties anticipate requesting a protective order from the court pursuant to Rule 26(c), describe the basis for the request and nature of the proposed protective order.

The parties anticipate requesting a protective and confidentiality order from the court given the sensitive nature of the allegations and the understanding that some of the information requested in discovery may be subject to FERPA.

I. Anticipated Issues Requiring Court Intervention

Provide a brief description of any discovery related issues which, the parties reasonably anticipate, may require court intervention.

Defendants anticipate seeking court intervention regarding the scope of discovery related to other misconduct cases involving other students.

13) IS IT POSSIBLE TO REDUCE THE LENGTH OF TRIAL BY STIPULATIONS, USE OF SUMMARIES OR STATEMENTS, OR OTHER EXPEDITED MEANS OF PRESENTING EVIDENCE? IS IT FEASIBLE AND DESIRABLE TO BIFURCATE ISSUES FOR TRIAL?

Not known at this time.

14) ARE THERE RELATED CASES PENDING BEFORE THE JUDGES OF THIS COURT?

No.

15) IN CLASS ACTIONS, WHEN AND HOW WILL THE CLASS BE CERTIFIED?

N/A.

16) WHAT ARE THE PROSPECTS FOR SETTLEMENT? Please circle below the prospect for settlement:

CANNOT BE EVALUATED PRIOR TO _____ (DATE)

HOW CAN SETTLEMENT EFFORTS BE ASSISTED?

(Do not indicate any monetary amounts at this time, settlement will be explored by the Magistrate Judge at the time of the initial status conference)

COMPLETE QUESTION 17 ONLY IF YOUR FILING ORDER COVER SHEET WAS CHECKED AS AN ADR TRACK CASE - Subject to Mandatory Mediation under General Order #47.

- 17) IF YOUR CASE WAS SELECTED AS A QUALIFYING MANDATORY MEDIATION CASE, CONFIRM THAT YOU HAVE:
 - A. Reviewed General Order #47? X YES NO
 - B. Reviewed the List of Court Approved Mediators available on the NDNY website? **X** YES NO
 - Prepared to discuss with the Court, at the conference, whether your case should be opted out of the program.
 X YES NO
 - D. Discussed the time frame needed to complete Mandatory Mediation? **X** YES NO

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26	of f of a conference was held of	n <u>July 11, 2017</u> at (Date) with the participation of:
(Place)		
Tara J. Davis, Esq.	for plaintiff(s)	
Stuart Bernstein, Esq.	for plaintiff(s)	
Wendy E. Tarlow, Esq.	for defendant(s)	
Jared M. Pittman, Esq.	for defendant(s)	

At the Rule 16(b) conference, the Court will issue an order directing the future proceedings in this action. The parties are advised that failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16(f).

Please detach this case management plan form and file electronically with the Clerk no later than seven (7) days in advance of the conference date.